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## The Effects of Replacing Most Federal Taxes with a National Sales Tax A State-by-State Distributional Analysis

Recently, there has been renewed discussion of the possibility of replacing most federal taxes with a national retail sales tax. Such an idea was broached in the 1990s, but political interest waned when it was discovered that it would take a sales-tax rate well in excess of 50 percent to replace existing federal revenues. In August of this year, however, President George W. Bush, speaking in Niceville, Florida, told an "Ask President Bush" campaign forum, "You know, I'm not exactly sure how big the national sales tax is going to have to be, but it's the kind of interesting idea that we ought to explore seriously." In South Carolina, Rep. Jim DeMint ( R ) has centered his campaign for the U.S. Senate on his support for a sales tax. Meanwhile, several dozen members of Congress continue to back specific national sales tax legislation despite the extraordinarily high tax rate and/or large deficit increases it would entail.

To assist the public in understanding the implications of replacing most federal taxes with a national sales tax, the Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy (ITEP) has evaluated such a plan using our state-by-state microsimulation tax model. ${ }^{1}$ Specifically, we looked at H.R. 25, the leading sales tax proposal introduced in Congress. Although other sales tax proposals could certainly differ in detail, the essential findings of our analysis would apply to almost any conceivable proposal to scrap most federal taxes in favor of a sales tax.

## Description of the National Sales Tax Proposal as Modeled

H.R. 25, introduced by Rep. John Linder (R-Ga.) and others, would replace all federal personal and corporate income taxes, Social Security and Medicare taxes, and estate taxes with a retail sales tax on a very broad base of personal spending. ${ }^{2}$ Beyond the usual items that are often subject to existing state sales taxes, the proposed national sales tax would also apply to things such as health care, purchases of new houses, rent, etc..
H.R. 25 confusingly advertises its sales tax rate as 23 percent, but that's rather disingenuous. On a $\$ 100$ purchase, for example, sales-tax proponents tell us that the tax would be $\$ 30$, which most people would accurately call a 30 percent rate. The so-called 23 percent figure comes from dividing the sales tax by the cost of purchases plus the tax. To be sure, $\$ 30$ divided by $\$ 130$ does equal 23 percent, but no ordinary person would think of computing a sales tax that way.

The fact that the sales tax, even by its proponents' own figures, entails a 30 percent tax rate is only the beginning of the math problems. Allegedly, almost a third of the projected sales-tax revenues are supposed to come from taxes that the government will pay to itself.

[^0]Build a road, pay yourself a tax. Buy some planes for the Air Force, pay yourself some more. And so on. Unfortunately, that can't work. Without these phantom governmental tax payments, the sales tax rate would have to jump to 42 percent to break even.

In addition, a quarter of the remaining sales taxes are supposed to be paid on things like church services, free care at veterans hospitals and a variety of hard-to-tax financial services like free checking accounts. If we disregard the supposed taxes on these items, the sales tax rate would have to climb to 50 percent or more to break even.

So, merely to match current-law tax revenues would require either a much higher sales tax rate than H.R. 25 provides or alternatively, keeping a large portion of the current taxes that H.R. 25 would repeal. Rather than trying to determine how H.R. 25's sponsors might resolve this mathematical dilemma if their plan were ever taken seriously, we simply computed a break-even sales tax rate that would match current revenues from the taxes that H.R. 25 would eliminate.

For 2005 (a relatively low-tax year), we calculate that the required break-even sales tax rate would be between 45 percent and 53 percent, depending on how certain tax-base issues are resolved. ${ }^{3}$ A recent analysis by William Gale of the Brookings Institution finds that to match expected federal revenues over the upcoming decade would require a sales tax rate of about 60 percent. ${ }^{4}$ That figure is consistent with earlier analyses by Citizens for Tax Justice and the congressional Joint Committee on Taxation. ${ }^{5}$

To partially mitigate the inherent regressivity of a national sales tax, H.R. 25 would provide a monthly payment to all taxpaying units, regardless of income, based on an adjusted poverty threshold for each unit's family size. ${ }^{6}$ We included these rebates in our analysis. ${ }^{7}$

One could reasonably argue that the whole idea of a 50-60 percent national sales tax is so implausible that it should be dismissed out of hand. Would the public ever tolerate a tax that adds $\$ 10,000$ or more to the cost of a new car? Or $\$ 50,000$ to the price of a new home? Or\$5,000 or more to average annual medical costs? Nevertheless, we have undertaken a distributional analysis of the sales-tax plan, to illustrate its other major problem:

[^1]${ }^{5}$ See Robert S. McIntyre, "The 23\% Solution?" The New York Times, Jan. 23, 1998, p. A25. The Joint Committee on Taxation analysis, dating from about the same time, was unpublished.
${ }^{6}$ The adjusted poverty threshold would equal the regular poverty threshold for all tax units except married couples, who would be treated as two unrelated people living in separate households and would thus have a higher adjusted poverty threshold for purposes of the sales tax rebate. This adjusted poverty threshold would be multiplied by the-sales-tax-rate divided by one-plus-the-sales-tax-rate to compute the rebate. Thus, the formula for computing the rebates would be "sales tax rate" $/(1+$ "sales tax rate" $) \times$ "adjusted poverty threshold." For example, if the adjusted poverty threshold for a two-person tax unit were $\$ 12,970$ and the sales tax rate were $50 \%$, then the rebate rate would be $50 \% /(1+50 \%)$, or $33.3 \%$, and the dollar rebate would equal $33.3 \%$ times $\$ 12,970$. That would mean a rebate of $\$ 360$ per month, or $\$ 4,320$ per year.
${ }^{7}$ In our analysis, we assumed that such a rebate system would be workable, although there are serious questions about its implementation.
if it were somehow implemented, it would raise taxes substantially on most Americans, and cut taxes substantially on the very well-off.

## Central Findings

Under current law, federal income and estate taxes are progressive. That means that taxpayers with high incomes pay a larger share of their incomes in taxes than do middle- and low-income taxpayers. A national sales tax would be exactly the opposite. It would take a much higher share of the earnings of low- and middle-income families than the wealthy would have to pay. That's because most Americans must spend most or all of their incomes to make ends meet, while better-off people can afford to spend a much lower share of their incomes. Moreover, older Americans tend to spend a higher share of their incomes than younger ones, which means that a national sales tax would be particularly burdensome on the elderly.

As a result, replacing most federal taxes with a national sales tax would mean very large tax increases on most Americans and very large tax cuts for the wealthy. The tables that follow speak for themselves, but a few important points can be highlighted:

- In virtually every state in the union, the bottom 80 percent of taxpayers would face much higher taxes under a sales tax. Nationwide, these tax increases would average about $\$ 3,200$ a year.
- Put another way, on average the 80 percent of Americans in the middle- and lowerincome ranges would pay 51 percent more in sales taxes than they now pay in the federal taxes that the proposed national sales tax would replace.
- In contrast, the best-off one percent of all taxpayers nationwide would get average tax reductions of about $\$ 225,000$ each per year.

Besides shifting the tax burden away from the well off and onto low- and middleincome taxpayers, a national sales tax would also shift aggregate taxes away from betteroff states and onto poorer states and states with a high proportion of elderly residents.

- Overall, we calculate that 13 states and the District of Columbia would pay less in aggregate taxes under a national sales tax, while the remaining 37 states would pay more.
- Of the total amount that is shifted among states, just over half of the total winnings would go to California and New York (even though most Californians and New Yorkers would nevertheless pay considerably higher taxes.)


## ADDENDUM: Consequences of a National Sales Tax at a 30 Percent Tax Rate

At the 30 percent tax rate proposed in H.R. 25 , replacing most federal taxes with a national sales tax would reduce the revenues those taxes currently provide by 41 percent in 2005, and by larger amounts in later years. It would be impossible to cover that huge cost without incurring even larger budget deficits, unless there were dramatic reductions in federal programs. For example:

- The revenue losses from a 30 percent national sales tax could be roughly offset by entirely eliminating all Social Security and Medicare hospitalization benefits forever.
- If instead the revenue losses from a 30 percent national sales tax were subtracted proportionately from Social Security and Medicare, on the one hand, and from the rest of the government, on the other, then in 2005, tax revenues available for Social Security and Medicare hospitalization benefits would be cut by 41 percent. Revenues available to pay for all remaining federal programs would fall by $\$ 450$ billion. That's almost as much as the entire defense budget in 2005.
Of course, the proponents of a national sales tax have not called for eliminating or slashing Social Security or defense. In fact, they have not outlined any serious plan to address the enormous revenue shortfall that their plan would create at the inadequate tax rate proposed in H.R. 25. For this reason, this study looks only at a revenue-neutral sales tax plan, not an unimaginably costly one.


## Tables detailing the findings of the study follow.

The Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy is a non-partisan tax policy research organization based in Washington, D.C. Since 1979, ITEP has been dedicated to providing policymakers and the public with the information they need to evaluate current tax laws and proposals for change, at the federal, state and local levels. More information about ITEP can be found at our website, www.itepnet.org.

Average Burden Changes from Replacing Most Federal Taxes with a National Sales Tax in 2005

| State | Lowest 20\% | Second 20\% | Middle 20\% | Fourth 20\% | Next 15\% | Next 4\% | Top 1\% | ALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | +4,138 | +4,853 | +4,309 | +3,653 | +1,143 | -4,430 | -134,825 | +2,208 |
| Alaska | +2,699 | +3,238 | +2,176 | -1,114 | -2,258 | -12,051 | -142,029 | -284 |
| Arizona | +3,895 | +3,778 | +4,715 | +2,925 | +1,051 | -7,068 | -173,853 | +1,485 |
| Arkansas | +3,703 | +4,556 | +3,475 | +4,315 | +818 | -2,081 | -113,859 | +2,294 |
| California | +3,365 | +2,663 | +2,611 | +1,130 | -1,529 | -26,490 | -306,939 | -1,316 |
| Colorado | +3,829 | +3,867 | +2,884 | +1,652 | +192 | -24,985 | $-228,201$ | -62 |
| Connecticut | +3,281 | +2,901 | +2,108 | -1,518 | -6,240 | -36,534 | -441,733 | -5,081 |
| Delaware | +3,258 | +2,493 | +2,633 | +710 | -2,832 | -14,999 | -192,393 | -704 |
| Dist. of Col. | +3,196 | +3,438 | +2,942 | +1,030 | -728 | -24,849 | -395,787 | -2,725 |
| Florida | +4,104 | +4,074 | +4,086 | +3,431 | +1,230 | -12,967 | -254,086 | +639 |
| Georgia | +3,724 | +3,523 | +3,269 | +1,690 | -1,418 | -12,325 | -189,357 | +136 |
| Hawaii | +3,770 | +3,273 | +3,742 | +2,467 | +2,244 | -3,920 | -115,259 | +1,964 |
| Idaho | +4,715 | +4,045 | +4,425 | +4,308 | +3,328 | -2,572 | -147,349 | +2,834 |
| Illinois | +3,647 | +3,795 | +2,831 | +1,643 | -1,940 | -16,936 | -279,519 | -1,029 |
| Indiana | +3,553 | +3,322 | +3,151 | +1,794 | -1,619 | -8,564 | -162,414 | +353 |
| lowa | +3,591 | +3,361 | +3,714 | +1,941 | -834 | -5,339 | -130,565 | +1,094 |
| Kansas | +3,560 | +3,113 | +2,369 | +1,309 | -1,759 | -11,862 | -175,695 | +43 |
| Kentucky | +3,954 | +4,209 | +4,294 | +3,483 | +1,507 | -3,719 | -126,692 | +2,091 |
| Louisiana | +3,480 | +4,378 | +4,059 | +2,970 | +73 | -7,119 | -155,601 | +1,532 |
| Maine | +3,744 | +3,321 | +3,012 | +3,051 | -743 | -6,330 | -138,464 | +1,219 |
| Maryland | +3,854 | +3,719 | +2,577 | +1,675 | -829 | -16,540 | -229,114 | -331 |
| Massachusetts | +3,930 | +3,192 | +3,204 | +1,005 | -2,268 | -25,060 | -339,537 | -1,933 |
| Michigan | +3,723 | +3,719 | +3,106 | +1,636 | -2,042 | -10,411 | -179,772 | +144 |
| Minnesota | +4,077 | +3,320 | +2,678 | +1,882 | -137 | -20,243 | -204,536 | +45 |
| Mississippi | +3,852 | +4,933 | +3,076 | +3,384 | +1,902 | -2,926 | -119,766 | +2,153 |
| Missouri | +3,636 | +3,462 | +3,372 | +2,148 | -886 | -4,147 | -175,374 | +702 |
| Montana | +3,312 | +3,725 | +3,815 | +2,669 | +1,362 | -2,991 | -119,787 | +2,108 |
| Nebraska | +4,040 | +3,791 | +3,823 | +2,316 | +1,738 | -6,900 | -176,892 | +1,382 |
| Nevada | +4,171 | +3,657 | +4,714 | +4,111 | +2,165 | -2,977 | -285,668 | +969 |
| New Hampshire | +3,796 | +2,635 | +2,267 | +386 | -2,886 | -19,198 | -229,423 | -1,344 |
| New Jersey | +3,745 | +3,218 | +2,930 | +746 | -3,325 | -36,152 | -286,479 | -2,428 |
| New Mexico | +3,729 | +3,460 | +3,798 | +3,814 | +751 | -1,640 | -97,838 | +2,047 |
| New York | +3,655 | +3,362 | +2,806 | +1,459 | -2,043 | -20,599 | -342,818 | -1,978 |
| North Carolina | +4,214 | +4,013 | +3,811 | +2,935 | +601 | -4,722 | -151,268 | +1,555 |
| North Dakota | +4,473 | +3,590 | +3,102 | +1,830 | +1,794 | -6,647 | -105,723 | +1,614 |
| Ohio | +3,918 | +3,793 | +3,663 | +3,138 | -144 | -6,604 | -141,167 | +1,398 |
| Oklahoma | +3,211 | +3,477 | +3,339 | +1,843 | +456 | -6,033 | -133,777 | +1,217 |
| Oregon | +3,782 | +4,078 | +3,619 | +2,283 | -393 | -10,237 | -163,591 | +888 |
| Pennsylvania | +4,004 | +4,067 | +3,818 | +2,707 | -787 | -10,307 | -187,080 | +817 |
| Rhode Island | +4,787 | +4,794 | +5,048 | +4,672 | +2,351 | -3,119 | -174,795 | +2,543 |
| South Carolina | +3,978 | +4,156 | +3,821 | +3,076 | +794 | -2,308 | -135,781 | +1,731 |
| South Dakota | +4,046 | +3,738 | +4,563 | +4,072 | +1,998 | -5,125 | -174,792 | +2,122 |
| Tennessee | +3,874 | +3,589 | +3,478 | +2,084 | +7 | -6,636 | -161,613 | +973 |
| Texas | +3,718 | +3,469 | +3,233 | +1,931 | -1,004 | -13,262 | -220,753 | -59 |
| Utah | +3,881 | +3,578 | +3,175 | +3,051 | +1,493 | -5,148 | -174,968 | +1,500 |
| Vermont | +3,390 | +2,787 | +3,370 | +1,986 | -1,929 | -10,184 | -151,412 | +420 |
| Virginia | +3,417 | +3,469 | +2,278 | +1,749 | -679 | -15,525 | -221,835 | -366 |
| Washington | +4,663 | +3,730 | +3,551 | +2,712 | +2,129 | -11,196 | -329,227 | +22 |
| West Virginia | +3,524 | +4,202 | +3,761 | +2,903 | +127 | -5,556 | -80,149 | +2,011 |
| Wisconsin | +4,238 | +3,635 | +3,367 | +2,597 | -382 | -7,272 | -186,855 | +750 |
| Wyoming | +3,512 | +3,949 | +4,612 | +2,980 | +1,213 | -13,384 | -288,914 | +819 |

Effects of Replacing Most Federal Taxes with a
National Sales Tax in 2005, State-by-State Details

|  | Average <br> Cash Income | Average <br> Federal Tax Now* | Average <br> National Sales Tax** | Average Burden Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 8,900 | \$ 276 | \$ 4,414 | \$ +4,138 |
| 2nd 20\% | 18,100 | 1,533 | 6,385 | +4,853 |
| Middle 20\% | 29,800 | 4,940 | 9,249 | +4,309 |
| 4th 20\% | 50,800 | 10,748 | 14,401 | +3,653 |
| Next 15\% | 84,300 | 21,711 | 22,854 | +1,143 |
| Next 4\% | 160,000 | 48,379 | 43,949 | -4,430 |
| Top 1\% | 667,000 | 224,443 | 89,618 | -134,825 |
| ALL | \$ 44,800 | \$ 10,380 | \$ 12,588 | \$ +2,208 |
| Bottom 80\% | 26,900 | 4,374 | 8,612 | +4,238 |
| Top 5\% | 259,700 | 82,999 | 52,929 | -30,070 |
| Alaska |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 11,400 | \$ 1,557 | \$ 4,256 | \$ +2,699 |
| 2nd 20\% | 24,900 | 3,699 | 6,937 | +3,238 |
| Middle 20\% | 42,700 | 9,385 | 11,562 | +2,176 |
| 4th 20\% | 69,800 | 18,005 | 16,891 | -1,114 |
| Next 15\% | 112,500 | 32,035 | 29,777 | -2,258 |
| Next 4\% | 193,000 | 60,784 | 48,733 | -12,051 |
| Top 1\% | 610,000 | 208,211 | 66,182 | -142,029 |
| ALL | \$ 58,800 | \$ 15,524 | \$ 15,240 | \$ -284 |
| Bottom 80\% | 37,200 | 8,161 | 9,911 | +1,750 |
| Top 5\% | 282,200 | 92,341 | 52,468 | -39,873 |
| Arizona |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 10,600 | \$ 917 | \$ 4,812 | \$ +3,895 |
| 2nd 20\% | 23,500 | 3,255 | 7,033 | +3,778 |
| Middle 20\% | 36,300 | 7,011 | 11,726 | +4,715 |
| 4th 20\% | 57,800 | 13,050 | 15,974 | +2,925 |
| Next 15\% | 96,600 | 25,549 | 26,600 | +1,051 |
| Next 4\% | 188,000 | 57,318 | 50,250 | -7,068 |
| Top 1\% | 838,000 | 271,349 | 97,496 | -173,853 |
| ALL | \$ 52,200 | \$ 12,725 | \$ 14,209 | \$ +1,485 |
| Bottom 80\% | 32,100 | 6,058 | 9,886 | +3,828 |
| Top 5\% | 316,100 | 99,585 | 59,581 | -40,005 |
| Arkansas |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 7,700 | \$ 276 | \$ 3,979 | \$ +3,703 |
| 2nd 20\% | 17,900 | 1,642 | 6,198 | +4,556 |
| Middle 20\% | 29,200 | 4,787 | 8,262 | +3,475 |
| 4th 20\% | 48,400 | 9,302 | 13,617 | +4,315 |
| Next 15\% | 79,400 | 20,793 | 21,611 | +818 |
| Next 4\% | 152,000 | 43,382 | 41,301 | -2,081 |
| Top 1\% | 594,000 | 199,026 | 85,168 | -113,859 |
| ALL | \$ 42,600 | \$ 9,606 | \$ 11,900 | \$ +2,294 |
| Bottom 80\% | 25,800 | 4,002 | 8,014 | +4,012 |
| Top 5\% | 239,500 | 74,347 | 50,028 | -24,319 |

Effects of Replacing Most Federal Taxes with a National Sales Tax in 2005, State-by-State Details

|  | Average <br> Cash Income | Average Federal Tax Now* | Average <br> National Sales Tax** | Average Burden Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 11,100 | \$ 748 | \$ 4,113 | \$ +3,365 |
| 2nd 20\% | 24,700 | 3,683 | 6,347 | +2,663 |
| Middle 20\% | 40,100 | 7,967 | 10,577 | +2,611 |
| 4th 20\% | 64,300 | 15,397 | 16,527 | +1,130 |
| Next 15\% | 114,000 | 31,449 | 29,920 | -1,529 |
| Next 4\% | 249,000 | 72,633 | 46,142 | -26,490 |
| Top 1\% | 1,378,000 | 435,853 | 128,914 | -306,939 |
| ALL | \$ 63,100 | \$ 16,006 | \$ 14,690 | \$ -1,316 |
| Bottom 80\% | 35,000 | 6,949 | 9,391 | +2,442 |
| Top 5\% | 467,300 | 142,754 | 62,122 | -80,632 |
| Colorado |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,800 | \$ 916 | \$ 4,745 | \$ +3,829 |
| 2nd 20\% | 23,000 | 3,641 | 7,508 | +3,867 |
| Middle 20\% | 38,600 | 8,694 | 11,578 | +2,884 |
| 4th 20\% | 63,400 | 15,521 | 17,173 | +1,652 |
| Next 15\% | 107,700 | 29,572 | 29,764 | +192 |
| Next 4\% | 222,000 | 68,439 | 43,454 | -24,985 |
| Top 1\% | 987,000 | 318,296 | 90,095 | -228,201 |
| ALL | \$ 59,800 | \$ 15,602 | \$ 15,540 | \$ -62 |
| Bottom 80\% | 33,700 | 7,193 | 10,251 | +3,058 |
| Top 5\% | 385,200 | 121,600 | 53,378 | -68,223 |
| Connecticut |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 13,700 | \$ 1,325 | \$ 4,605 | \$ +3,281 |
| 2nd 20\% | 29,300 | 4,908 | 7,809 | +2,901 |
| Middle 20\% | 49,300 | 10,942 | 13,050 | +2,108 |
| 4th 20\% | 76,400 | 20,093 | 18,575 | -1,518 |
| Next 15\% | 134,400 | 40,049 | 33,809 | -6,240 |
| Next 4\% | 295,000 | 94,256 | 57,722 | -36,534 |
| Top 1\% | 2,106,000 | 652,170 | 210,437 | -441,733 |
| ALL | \$85,200 | \$ 23,319 | \$ 18,238 | \$ -5,081 |
| Bottom 80\% | 42,200 | 9,317 | 11,010 | +1,693 |
| Top 5\% | 667,600 | 208,887 | 89,099 | -119,788 |
| Delaware |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,400 | \$ 583 | \$ 3,841 | \$ +3,258 |
| 2nd 20\% | 23,100 | 4,041 | 6,534 | +2,493 |
| Middle 20\% | 39,400 | 7,997 | 10,630 | +2,633 |
| 4th 20\% | 63,600 | 15,492 | 16,202 | +710 |
| Next 15\% | 103,500 | 29,819 | 26,988 | -2,832 |
| Next 4\% | 200,000 | 63,253 | 48,253 | -14,999 |
| Top 1\% | 866,000 | 287,835 | 95,442 | -192,393 |
| ALL | \$ 58,200 | \$ 15,216 | \$ 14,512 | \$ -704 |
| Bottom 80\% | 33,900 | 7,028 | 9,302 | +2,274 |
| Top 5\% | 327,300 | 106,214 | 57,280 | -48,934 |

Effects of Replacing Most Federal Taxes with a National Sales Tax in 2005, State-by-State Details

|  | Average <br> Cash Income | Average Federal Tax Now* | Average <br> National Sales Tax** | Average Burden Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dist. of Col. |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 11,400 | \$ 895 | \$ 4,091 | \$ +3,196 |
| 2nd 20\% | 27,800 | 5,297 | 8,735 | +3,438 |
| Middle 20\% | 42,800 | 8,954 | 11,896 | +2,942 |
| 4th 20\% | 64,800 | 16,948 | 17,979 | +1,030 |
| Next 15\% | 119,600 | 34,324 | 33,596 | -728 |
| Next 4\% | 270,000 | 85,878 | 61,029 | -24,849 |
| Top 1\% | 1,859,000 | 566,884 | 171,097 | -395,787 |
| ALL | \$73,200 | \$ 19,655 | \$ 16,930 | \$ -2,725 |
| Bottom 80\% | 36,700 | 8,023 | 10,675 | +2,652 |
| Top 5\% | 588,700 | 182,362 | 83,108 | -99,254 |
| Florida |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 10,000 | \$ 627 | \$ 4,730 | \$ +4,104 |
| 2nd 20\% | 21,400 | 2,666 | 6,740 | +4,074 |
| Middle 20\% | 33,100 | 5,876 | 9,963 | +4,086 |
| 4th 20\% | 54,100 | 11,844 | 15,275 | +3,431 |
| Next 15\% | 93,300 | 24,542 | 25,772 | +1,230 |
| Next 4\% | 205,000 | 64,141 | 51,174 | -12,967 |
| Top 1\% | 1,092,000 | 370,731 | 116,646 | -254,086 |
| ALL | \$ 53,600 | \$ 13,331 | \$ 13,970 | \$ +639 |
| Bottom 80\% | 29,600 | 5,253 | 9,177 | +3,924 |
| Top 5\% | 382,600 | 125,466 | 64,270 | -61,196 |
| Georgia |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,300 | \$ 451 | \$ 4,175 | \$ +3,724 |
| 2nd 20\% | 20,600 | 2,440 | 5,962 | +3,523 |
| Middle 20\% | 34,300 | 6,662 | 9,931 | +3,269 |
| 4th 20\% | 56,500 | 13,383 | 15,074 | +1,690 |
| Next 15\% | 98,200 | 26,934 | 25,516 | -1,418 |
| Next 4\% | 195,000 | 60,175 | 47,850 | -12,325 |
| Top 1\% | 866,000 | 281,525 | 92,168 | -189,357 |
| ALL | \$ 52,000 | \$ 13,038 | \$ 13,174 | \$ +136 |
| Bottom 80\% | 30,200 | 5,734 | 8,786 | +3,051 |
| Top 5\% | 332,400 | 105,503 | 56,925 | -48,577 |
| Hawaii |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,600 | \$ 704 | \$ 4,474 | \$ +3,770 |
| 2nd 20\% | 22,600 | 3,792 | 7,065 | +3,273 |
| Middle 20\% | 37,400 | 7,074 | 10,815 | +3,742 |
| 4th 20\% | 59,600 | 14,045 | 16,512 | +2,467 |
| Next 15\% | 99,100 | 26,166 | 28,410 | +2,244 |
| Next 4\% | 180,000 | 52,647 | 48,727 | -3,920 |
| Top 1\% | 618,000 | 200,874 | 85,615 | -115,259 |
| ALL | \$ 51,700 | \$ 12,560 | \$ 14,524 | \$ +1,964 |
| Bottom 80\% | 32,300 | 6,404 | 9,717 | +3,313 |
| Top 5\% | 267,000 | 82,195 | 56,080 | -26,115 |

Effects of Replacing Most Federal Taxes with a National Sales Tax in 2005, State-by-State Details

|  | Average <br> Cash Income | Average Federal Tax Now* | Average <br> National Sales Tax** | Average Burden Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Idaho |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,300 | \$ 560 | \$ 5,275 | \$ +4,715 |
| 2nd 20\% | 20,500 | 2,286 | 6,332 | +4,045 |
| Middle 20\% | 33,800 | 6,477 | 10,902 | +4,425 |
| 4th 20\% | 54,500 | 11,539 | 15,847 | +4,308 |
| Next 15\% | 87,200 | 21,692 | 25,020 | +3,328 |
| Next 4\% | 163,000 | 48,066 | 45,494 | -2,572 |
| Top 1\% | 690,000 | 231,905 | 84,555 | -147,349 |
| ALL | \$ 48,100 | \$ 11,242 | \$ 14,076 | \$ +2,834 |
| Bottom 80\% | 29,500 | 5,215 | 9,589 | +4,373 |
| Top 5\% | 268,200 | 84,832 | 53,306 | -31,526 |
| Illinois |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,800 | \$ 702 | \$ 4,349 | \$ +3,647 |
| 2nd 20\% | 24,200 | 3,404 | 7,200 | +3,795 |
| Middle 20\% | 40,900 | 8,629 | 11,461 | +2,831 |
| 4th 20\% | 64,800 | 15,401 | 17,044 | +1,643 |
| Next 15\% | 107,600 | 30,605 | 28,665 | -1,940 |
| Next 4\% | 217,000 | 69,763 | 52,826 | -16,936 |
| Top 1\% | 1,157,000 | 400,506 | 120,987 | -279,519 |
| ALL | \$ 61,900 | \$ 16,337 | \$ 15,309 | \$ -1,029 |
| Bottom 80\% | 34,900 | 7,034 | 10,013 | +2,979 |
| Top 5\% | 404,800 | 135,897 | 66,455 | -69,442 |
| Indiana |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 10,300 | \$ 918 | \$ 4,471 | \$ +3,553 |
| 2nd 20\% | 23,400 | 3,644 | 6,967 | +3,322 |
| Middle 20\% | 39,000 | 7,598 | 10,749 | +3,151 |
| 4th 20\% | 60,000 | 13,755 | 15,548 | +1,794 |
| Next 15\% | 93,800 | 25,723 | 24,104 | -1,619 |
| Next 4\% | 173,000 | 53,624 | 45,060 | -8,564 |
| Top 1\% | 739,000 | 250,259 | 87,845 | -162,414 |
| ALL | \$ 53,200 | \$ 13,252 | \$ 13,605 | \$ +353 |
| Bottom 80\% | 33,200 | 6,479 | 9,434 | +2,955 |
| Top 5\% | 286,900 | 93,179 | 53,666 | -39,513 |
| lowa |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,200 | \$ 739 | \$ 4,331 | \$ +3,591 |
| 2nd 20\% | 22,800 | 3,544 | 6,905 | +3,361 |
| Middle 20\% | 38,200 | 7,275 | 10,988 | +3,714 |
| 4th 20\% | 58,500 | 13,239 | 15,180 | +1,941 |
| Next 15\% | 90,000 | 23,586 | 22,751 | -834 |
| Next 4\% | 163,000 | 48,660 | 43,321 | -5,339 |
| Top 1\% | 609,000 | 208,219 | 77,654 | -130,565 |
| ALL | \$ 50,700 | \$ 12,243 | \$ 13,337 | \$ +1,094 |
| Bottom 80\% | 32,200 | 6,199 | 9,351 | +3,152 |
| Top 5\% | 252,100 | 80,548 | 50,183 | -30,365 |

Effects of Replacing Most Federal Taxes with a
National Sales Tax in 2005, State-by-State Details

|  | Average Cash Income | Average <br> Federal <br> Tax Now* | Average <br> National <br> Sales Tax** | Average Burden <br> Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kansas |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,500 | \$ 668 | \$ 4,228 | \$ $+3,560$ |
| 2nd 20\% | 22,000 | 3,167 | 6,279 | +3,113 |
| Middle 20\% | 38,000 | 7,667 | 10,036 | +2,369 |
| 4th 20\% | 59,600 | 13,430 | 14,739 | +1,309 |
| Next 15\% | 95,400 | 26,156 | 24,397 | -1,759 |
| Next 4\% | 183,000 | 55,866 | 44,004 | -11,862 |
| Top 1\% | 762,000 | 256,541 | 80,846 | -175,695 |
| ALL | \$ 53,500 | \$ 13,318 | \$ 13,361 | \$ +43 |
| Bottom 80\% | 32,200 | 6,233 | 8,820 | +2,587 |
| Top 5\% | 298,900 | 95,993 | 51,371 | -44,622 |
| Kentucky |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 8,100 | \$ 366 | \$ 4,319 | \$ +3,954 |
| 2nd 20\% | 18,800 | 2,221 | 6,430 | +4,209 |
| Middle 20\% | 31,900 | 5,627 | 9,921 | +4,294 |
| 4th 20\% | 51,500 | 10,900 | 14,384 | +3,483 |
| Next 15\% | 84,900 | 21,924 | 23,431 | +1,507 |
| Next 4\% | 160,000 | 48,878 | 45,159 | -3,719 |
| Top 1\% | 626,000 | 213,686 | 86,994 | -126,692 |
| ALL | \$ 44,800 | \$ 10,576 | \$ 12,667 | \$ +2,091 |
| Bottom 80\% | 27,600 | 4,779 | 8,764 | +3,985 |
| Top 5\% | 254,100 | 82,059 | 53,582 | -28,477 |
| Louisiana |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 7,600 | \$ 75 | \$ 3,555 | \$ +3,480 |
| 2nd 20\% | 17,200 | 1,152 | 5,529 | +4,378 |
| Middle 20\% | 29,800 | 4,686 | 8,745 | +4,059 |
| 4th 20\% | 49,900 | 10,890 | 13,860 | +2,970 |
| Next 15\% | 85,600 | 22,712 | 22,786 | +73 |
| Next 4\% | 168,000 | 51,179 | 44,060 | -7,119 |
| Top 1\% | 660,000 | 232,401 | 76,800 | -155,601 |
| ALL | \$ 44,000 | \$ 10,392 | \$ 11,924 | \$ +1,532 |
| Bottom 80\% | 26,100 | 4,201 | 7,922 | +3,722 |
| Top 5\% | 266,300 | 87,433 | 50,610 | -36,823 |
| Maine |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 10,400 | \$ 637 | \$ 4,381 | \$ +3,744 |
| 2nd 20\% | 22,000 | 3,208 | 6,528 | +3,321 |
| Middle 20\% | 35,900 | 7,197 | 10,208 | +3,012 |
| 4th 20\% | 54,800 | 11,564 | 14,615 | +3,051 |
| Next 15\% | 87,200 | 23,131 | 22,388 | -743 |
| Next 4\% | 165,000 | 49,031 | 42,701 | -6,330 |
| Top 1\% | 611,000 | 208,329 | 69,865 | -138,464 |
| ALL | \$ 49,400 | \$ 11,814 | \$ 13,033 | \$ +1,219 |
| Bottom 80\% | 30,800 | 5,651 | 8,933 | +3,282 |
| Top 5\% | 260,000 | 82,850 | 48,468 | -34,382 |

Effects of Replacing Most Federal Taxes with a National Sales Tax in 2005, State-by-State Details

|  | Average <br> Cash Income | Average Federal Tax Now* | Average National Sales Tax** | Average <br> Burden <br> Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maryland |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 11,500 | \$ 1,199 | \$ 5,053 | \$ +3,854 |
| 2nd 20\% | 27,500 | 4,681 | 8,400 | +3,719 |
| Middle 20\% | 44,900 | 10,077 | 12,654 | +2,577 |
| 4th 20\% | 71,300 | 17,860 | 19,534 | +1,675 |
| Next 15\% | 120,300 | 34,023 | 33,194 | -829 |
| Next 4\% | 228,000 | 71,606 | 55,065 | -16,540 |
| Top 1\% | 1,042,000 | 337,517 | 108,403 | -229,114 |
| ALL | \$ 66,400 | \$ 17,503 | \$ 17,171 | \$ -331 |
| Bottom 80\% | 38,800 | 8,454 | 11,410 | +2,956 |
| Top 5\% | 391,000 | 124,839 | 65,743 | -59,096 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 10,400 | \$ 681 | \$ 4,611 | \$ +3,930 |
| 2nd 20\% | 27,100 | 5,010 | 8,202 | +3,192 |
| Middle 20\% | 44,600 | 9,722 | 12,926 | +3,204 |
| 4th 20\% | 70,500 | 17,846 | 18,851 | +1,005 |
| Next 15\% | 120,400 | 35,363 | 33,094 | -2,268 |
| Next 4\% | 258,000 | 83,608 | 58,547 | -25,060 |
| Top 1\% | 1,422,000 | 475,926 | 136,389 | -339,537 |
| ALL | \$ 71,100 | \$ 19,500 | \$ 17,567 | \$ -1,933 |
| Bottom 80\% | 38,200 | 8,315 | 11,148 | +2,833 |
| Top 5\% | 488,100 | 161,093 | 73,921 | -87,171 |
| Michigan |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,600 | \$ 605 | \$ 4,328 | \$ +3,723 |
| 2nd 20\% | 23,500 | 3,577 | 7,296 | +3,719 |
| Middle 20\% | 40,200 | 7,981 | 11,087 | +3,106 |
| 4th 20\% | 63,000 | 14,579 | 16,215 | +1,636 |
| Next 15\% | 101,700 | 28,330 | 26,287 | -2,042 |
| Next 4\% | 189,000 | 58,249 | 47,837 | -10,411 |
| Top 1\% | 779,000 | 266,347 | 86,575 | -179,772 |
| ALL | \$ 55,700 | \$ 14,025 | \$ 14,169 | \$ +144 |
| Bottom 80\% | 34,100 | 6,685 | 9,731 | +3,046 |
| Top 5\% | 306,900 | 99,882 | 55,587 | -44,294 |
| Minnesota |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 11,400 | \$ 1,124 | \$ 5,201 | \$ +4,077 |
| 2nd 20\% | 26,300 | 4,636 | 7,956 | +3,320 |
| Middle 20\% | 43,000 | 9,747 | 12,425 | +2,678 |
| 4th 20\% | 67,000 | 16,227 | 18,109 | +1,882 |
| Next 15\% | 105,700 | 29,605 | 29,468 | -137 |
| Next 4\% | 213,000 | 66,942 | 46,699 | -20,243 |
| Top 1\% | 902,000 | 302,830 | 98,294 | -204,536 |
| ALL | \$ 61,800 | \$ 16,218 | \$ 16,263 | \$ +45 |
| Bottom 80\% | 36,900 | 7,934 | 10,923 | +2,989 |
| Top 5\% | 354,800 | 115,352 | 57,288 | -58,065 |

Effects of Replacing Most Federal Taxes with a National Sales Tax in 2005, State-by-State Details

|  | Average <br> Cash Income | Average Federal Tax Now* | Average <br> National Sales Tax** | Average Burden Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mississippi |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 7,600 | \$ 84 | \$ 3,936 | \$ $+3,852$ |
| 2nd 20\% | 16,100 | 925 | 5,858 | +4,933 |
| Middle 20\% | 26,500 | 4,180 | 7,257 | +3,076 |
| 4th 20\% | 45,400 | 9,171 | 12,555 | +3,384 |
| Next 15\% | 76,800 | 18,617 | 20,519 | +1,902 |
| Next 4\% | 146,000 | 41,932 | 39,006 | -2,926 |
| Top 1\% | 580,000 | 190,573 | 70,808 | -119,766 |
| ALL | \$ 40,200 | \$8,802 | \$ 10,955 | \$ +2,153 |
| Bottom 80\% | 23,900 | 3,590 | 7,401 | +3,811 |
| Top 5\% | 232,200 | 71,572 | 45,347 | -26,225 |
| Missouri |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,600 | \$ 660 | \$ 4,296 | \$ +3,636 |
| 2nd 20\% | 21,200 | 2,785 | 6,247 | +3,462 |
| Middle 20\% | 35,600 | 6,932 | 10,304 | +3,372 |
| 4th 20\% | 56,700 | 12,665 | 14,813 | +2,148 |
| Next 15\% | 91,600 | 24,494 | 23,607 | -886 |
| Next 4\% | 177,000 | 53,921 | 49,773 | -4,147 |
| Top 1\% | 781,000 | 265,668 | 90,295 | -175,374 |
| ALL | \$ 51,600 | \$ 12,703 | \$ 13,406 | \$ +702 |
| Bottom 80\% | 30,700 | 5,760 | 8,915 | +3,155 |
| Top 5\% | 296,900 | 95,913 | 57,809 | -38,104 |
| Montana |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 8,500 | \$ 718 | \$ 4,031 | \$ +3,312 |
| 2nd 20\% | 18,600 | 2,043 | 5,769 | +3,725 |
| Middle 20\% | 32,500 | 5,716 | 9,532 | +3,815 |
| 4th 20\% | 52,000 | 11,267 | 13,935 | +2,669 |
| Next 15\% | 82,700 | 20,153 | 21,515 | +1,362 |
| Next 4\% | 164,000 | 47,007 | 44,016 | -2,991 |
| Top 1\% | 596,000 | 196,629 | 76,842 | -119,787 |
| ALL | \$ 45,600 | \$ 10,465 | \$ 12,574 | \$ +2,108 |
| Bottom 80\% | 27,900 | 4,936 | 8,317 | +3,380 |
| Top 5\% | 250,600 | 77,075 | 50,613 | -26,462 |
| Nebraska |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,900 | \$ 917 | \$ 4,957 | \$ +4,040 |
| 2nd 20\% | 23,700 | 3,873 | 7,664 | +3,791 |
| Middle 20\% | 38,100 | 7,945 | 11,768 | +3,823 |
| 4th 20\% | 58,500 | 13,377 | 15,693 | +2,316 |
| Next 15\% | 91,400 | 24,140 | 25,879 | +1,738 |
| Next 4\% | 181,000 | 54,117 | 47,217 | -6,900 |
| Top 1\% | 774,000 | 263,891 | 87,000 | -176,892 |
| ALL | \$ 53,500 | \$ 13,379 | \$ 14,761 | \$ +1,382 |
| Bottom 80\% | 32,500 | 6,528 | 10,021 | +3,492 |
| Top 5\% | 298,500 | 95,780 | 55,118 | -40,662 |

Effects of Replacing Most Federal Taxes with a National Sales Tax in 2005, State-by-State Details

|  | Average Cash Income | Average Federal Tax Now* | Average <br> National Sales Tax** | Average Burden Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nevada |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 11,800 | \$ 1,366 | \$ 5,537 | \$ +4,171 |
| 2nd 20\% | 24,500 | 4,153 | 7,810 | +3,657 |
| Middle 20\% | 39,500 | 8,263 | 12,978 | +4,714 |
| 4th 20\% | 61,000 | 13,946 | 18,057 | +4,111 |
| Next 15\% | 96,400 | 25,516 | 27,680 | +2,165 |
| Next 4\% | 197,000 | 58,980 | 56,003 | -2,977 |
| Top 1\% | 1,389,000 | 450,424 | 164,755 | -285,668 |
| ALL | \$ 59,800 | \$ 15,222 | \$ 16,190 | \$ +969 |
| Bottom 80\% | 34,200 | 6,932 | 11,096 | +4,164 |
| Top 5\% | 434,000 | 136,743 | 77,607 | -59,135 |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 12,500 | \$ 1,504 | \$ 5,300 | \$ +3,796 |
| 2nd 20\% | 28,400 | 5,664 | 8,299 | +2,635 |
| Middle 20\% | 45,800 | 10,203 | 12,470 | +2,267 |
| 4th 20\% | 70,900 | 17,568 | 17,954 | +386 |
| Next 15\% | 113,800 | 33,217 | 30,331 | -2,886 |
| Next 4\% | 227,000 | 71,102 | 51,903 | -19,198 |
| Top 1\% | 983,000 | 331,109 | 101,686 | -229,423 |
| ALL | \$ 66,200 | \$ 17,774 | \$ 16,431 | \$ -1,344 |
| Bottom 80\% | 39,400 | 8,735 | 11,006 | +2,271 |
| Top 5\% | 380,600 | 123,830 | 61,999 | -61,831 |
| New Jersey |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 11,600 | \$ 862 | \$ 4,607 | \$ +3,745 |
| 2nd 20\% | 28,700 | 5,131 | 8,349 | +3,218 |
| Middle 20\% | 47,600 | 10,555 | 13,484 | +2,930 |
| 4th 20\% | 75,700 | 19,404 | 20,150 | +746 |
| Next 15\% | 132,200 | 39,622 | 36,297 | -3,325 |
| Next 4\% | 279,000 | 92,549 | 56,397 | -36,152 |
| Top 1\% | 1,253,000 | 408,190 | 121,710 | -286,479 |
| ALL | \$ 74,900 | \$ 20,619 | \$ 18,191 | \$ -2,428 |
| Bottom 80\% | 40,900 | 8,988 | 11,648 | +2,659 |
| Top 5\% | 505,700 | 166,146 | 71,626 | -94,520 |
| New Mexico |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 8,800 | \$ 319 | \$ 4,048 | \$ +3,729 |
| 2nd 20\% | 20,900 | 2,639 | 6,099 | +3,460 |
| Middle 20\% | 33,400 | 5,760 | 9,558 | +3,798 |
| 4th 20\% | 53,000 | 10,778 | 14,592 | +3,814 |
| Next 15\% | 89,600 | 22,708 | 23,459 | +751 |
| Next 4\% | 168,000 | 47,661 | 46,021 | -1,640 |
| Top 1\% | 604,000 | 183,086 | 85,248 | -97,838 |
| ALL | \$ 45,500 | \$ 10,130 | \$ 12,177 | \$ +2,047 |
| Bottom 80\% | 29,000 | 4,874 | 8,574 | +3,700 |
| Top 5\% | 254,800 | 74,592 | 53,822 | -20,771 |

Effects of Replacing Most Federal Taxes with a National Sales Tax in 2005, State-by-State Details

|  | Average <br> Cash Income | Average <br> Federal <br> Tax Now* | Average <br> National Sales Tax** | Average Burden Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New York |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,500 | \$ 396 | \$ 4,051 | \$ +3,655 |
| 2nd 20\% | 22,300 | 2,942 | 6,304 | +3,362 |
| Middle 20\% | 37,600 | 7,630 | 10,436 | +2,806 |
| 4th 20\% | 61,200 | 14,484 | 15,942 | +1,459 |
| Next 15\% | 108,300 | 30,292 | 28,249 | -2,043 |
| Next 4\% | 247,000 | 76,114 | 55,514 | -20,599 |
| Top 1\% | 1,617,000 | 494,611 | 151,793 | -342,818 |
| ALL | \$ 65,100 | \$ 16,742 | \$ 14,764 | \$ -1,978 |
| Bottom 80\% | 32,700 | 6,363 | 9,183 | +2,820 |
| Top 5\% | 521,200 | 159,807 | 74,769 | -85,038 |
| North Carolina |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,500 | \$ 527 | \$ 4,741 | \$ +4,214 |
| 2nd 20\% | 20,800 | 2,501 | 6,514 | +4,013 |
| Middle 20\% | 33,500 | 6,453 | 10,264 | +3,811 |
| 4th 20\% | 53,200 | 11,852 | 14,788 | +2,935 |
| Next 15\% | 91,000 | 24,312 | 24,913 | +601 |
| Next 4\% | 181,000 | 54,424 | 49,702 | -4,722 |
| Top 1\% | 721,000 | 237,756 | 86,488 | -151,268 |
| ALL | \$ 49,600 | \$ 12,008 | \$ 13,563 | \$ +1,555 |
| Bottom 80\% | 29,200 | 5,333 | 9,077 | +3,744 |
| Top 5\% | 288,400 | 90,808 | 57,003 | -33,805 |
| North Dakota |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,700 | \$ 654 | \$ 5,128 | \$ +4,473 |
| 2nd 20\% | 21,900 | 3,146 | 6,737 | +3,590 |
| Middle 20\% | 36,600 | 7,102 | 10,204 | +3,102 |
| 4th 20\% | 56,100 | 12,677 | 14,508 | +1,830 |
| Next 15\% | 90,100 | 22,608 | 24,402 | +1,794 |
| Next 4\% | 183,000 | 51,736 | 45,088 | -6,647 |
| Top 1\% | 528,000 | 178,184 | 72,462 | -105,723 |
| ALL | \$ 49,700 | \$ 11,673 | \$ 13,287 | \$ +1,614 |
| Bottom 80\% | 31,100 | 5,895 | 9,144 | +3,249 |
| Top 5\% | 254,200 | 77,790 | 50,729 | -27,061 |
| Ohio |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,800 | \$ 825 | \$ 4,744 | \$ +3,918 |
| 2nd 20\% | 22,700 | 3,447 | 7,240 | +3,793 |
| Middle 20\% | 36,900 | 7,531 | 11,193 | +3,663 |
| 4th 20\% | 55,700 | 12,677 | 15,815 | +3,138 |
| Next 15\% | 88,300 | 23,612 | 23,468 | -144 |
| Next 4\% | 172,000 | 53,001 | 46,397 | -6,604 |
| Top 1\% | 669,000 | 226,354 | 85,187 | -141,167 |
| ALL | \$ 50,400 | \$ 12,467 | \$ 13,865 | \$ +1,398 |
| Bottom 80\% | 31,300 | 6,120 | 9,748 | +3,628 |
| Top 5\% | 271,600 | 87,716 | 54,165 | -33,551 |

Effects of Replacing Most Federal Taxes with a National Sales Tax in 2005, State-by-State Details

|  | Average Cash Income | Average <br> Federal Tax Now* | Average <br> National <br> Sales Tax** | Average Burden <br> Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oklahoma |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 8,100 | \$ 500 | \$ 3,711 | \$ +3,211 |
| 2nd 20\% | 18,100 | 1,735 | 5,212 | +3,477 |
| Middle 20\% | 30,300 | 5,113 | 8,451 | +3,339 |
| 4th 20\% | 51,100 | 11,231 | 13,074 | +1,843 |
| Next 15\% | 84,600 | 20,966 | 21,422 | +456 |
| Next 4\% | 159,000 | 46,868 | 40,834 | -6,033 |
| Top 1\% | 608,000 | 205,443 | 71,665 | -133,777 |
| ALL | \$ 44,600 | \$ 10,364 | \$ 11,581 | \$ +1,217 |
| Bottom 80\% | 26,900 | 4,645 | 7,612 | +2,968 |
| Top 5\% | 254,500 | 80,515 | 47,376 | -33,139 |
| Oregon |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 10,200 | \$ 784 | \$ 4,566 | \$ +3,782 |
| 2nd 20\% | 22,100 | 2,943 | 7,021 | +4,078 |
| Middle 20\% | 35,400 | 6,398 | 10,017 | +3,619 |
| 4th 20\% | 57,700 | 13,084 | 15,366 | +2,283 |
| Next 15\% | 94,300 | 24,736 | 24,343 | -393 |
| Next 4\% | 183,000 | 55,908 | 45,672 | -10,237 |
| Top 1\% | 741,000 | 249,313 | 85,722 | -163,591 |
| ALL | \$ 51,100 | \$ 12,363 | \$ 13,251 | \$ +888 |
| Bottom 80\% | 31,400 | 5,802 | 9,243 | +3,440 |
| Top 5\% | 294,300 | 94,554 | 53,674 | -40,880 |
| Pennsylvania |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,900 | \$ 691 | \$ 4,695 | \$ +4,004 |
| 2nd 20\% | 23,500 | 3,353 | 7,420 | +4,067 |
| Middle 20\% | 38,700 | 7,319 | 11,137 | +3,818 |
| 4th 20\% | 59,300 | 13,773 | 16,480 | +2,707 |
| Next 15\% | 97,700 | 27,499 | 26,712 | -787 |
| Next 4\% | 197,000 | 61,569 | 51,262 | -10,307 |
| Top 1\% | 853,000 | 285,183 | 98,102 | -187,080 |
| ALL | \$ 55,600 | \$ 13,995 | \$ 14,812 | \$ +817 |
| Bottom 80\% | 32,900 | 6,284 | 9,933 | +3,649 |
| Top 5\% | 328,500 | 106,267 | 60,625 | -45,642 |
| Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,100 | \$ 690 | \$ 5,477 | \$ +4,787 |
| 2nd 20\% | 22,500 | 3,269 | 8,063 | +4,794 |
| Middle 20\% | 38,500 | 7,273 | 12,321 | +5,048 |
| 4th 20\% | 61,600 | 14,523 | 19,195 | +4,672 |
| Next 15\% | 101,700 | 28,384 | 30,734 | +2,351 |
| Next 4\% | 201,000 | 60,458 | 57,339 | -3,119 |
| Top 1\% | 845,000 | 285,722 | 110,927 | -174,795 |
| ALL | \$ 56,800 | \$ 14,342 | \$ 16,884 | \$ +2,543 |
| Bottom 80\% | 32,900 | 6,439 | 11,264 | +4,825 |
| Top 5\% | 327,800 | 104,897 | 67,910 | -36,987 |

Effects of Replacing Most Federal Taxes with a National Sales Tax in 2005, State-by-State Details

|  | Average Cash Income | Average Federal Tax Now* | Average National Sales Tax* | Average Burden Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South Carolina |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 8,700 | \$ 455 | \$ 4,432 | \$ +3,978 |
| 2nd 20\% | 19,400 | 2,142 | 6,298 | +4,156 |
| Middle 20\% | 30,300 | 5,491 | 9,313 | +3,821 |
| 4th 20\% | 50,700 | 11,101 | 14,177 | +3,076 |
| Next 15\% | 84,700 | 21,629 | 22,422 | +794 |
| Next 4\% | 162,000 | 46,693 | 44,385 | -2,308 |
| Top 1\% | 638,000 | 212,778 | 76,996 | -135,781 |
| ALL | \$ 45,400 | \$ 10,604 | \$ 12,335 | \$ +1,731 |
| Bottom 80\% | 27,300 | 4,797 | 8,555 | +3,758 |
| Top 5\% | 260,300 | 80,893 | 51,100 | -29,793 |
| South Dakota |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$9,100 | \$ 610 | \$ 4,656 | \$ +4,046 |
| 2nd 20\% | 20,500 | 3,241 | 6,979 | +3,738 |
| Middle 20\% | 34,100 | 6,489 | 11,053 | +4,563 |
| 4th 20\% | 53,700 | 11,637 | 15,709 | +4,072 |
| Next 15\% | 82,900 | 21,109 | 23,107 | +1,998 |
| Next 4\% | 162,000 | 48,355 | 43,229 | -5,125 |
| Top 1\% | 761,000 | 267,263 | 92,471 | -174,792 |
| ALL | \$ 48,600 | \$ 11,865 | \$ 13,987 | \$ +2,122 |
| Bottom 80\% | 29,400 | 5,494 | 9,599 | +4,105 |
| Top 5\% | 282,100 | 92,359 | 53,128 | -39,232 |
| Tennessee |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$8,800 | \$ 342 | \$ 4,216 | \$ +3,874 |
| 2nd 20\% | 19,700 | 2,419 | 6,008 | +3,589 |
| Middle 20\% | 32,300 | 5,834 | 9,312 | +3,478 |
| 4th 20\% | 51,300 | 11,718 | 13,803 | +2,084 |
| Next 15\% | 86,100 | 22,972 | 22,979 | +7 |
| Next 4\% | 175,000 | 52,739 | 46,103 | -6,636 |
| Top 1\% | 767,000 | 252,393 | 90,780 | -161,613 |
| ALL | \$48,300 | \$ 11,706 | \$ 12,679 | \$ 9973 |
| Bottom 80\% | 28,100 | 5,078 | 8,335 | +3,256 |
| Top 5\% | 291,600 | 92,151 | 54,922 | -37,229 |
| Texas |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$9,500 | \$ 464 | \$ 4,182 | \$ +3,718 |
| 2nd 20\% | 21,100 | 2,487 | 5,956 | +3,469 |
| Middle 20\% | 34,300 | 6,539 | 9,772 | +3,233 |
| 4th 20\% | 55,900 | 13,171 | 15,101 | +1,931 |
| Next 15\% | 99,400 | 27,851 | 26,847 | -1,004 |
| Next 4\% | 208,000 | 64,969 | 51,708 | -13,262 |
| Top 1\% | 1,000,000 | 326,686 | 105,932 | -220,753 |
| ALL | \$ 53,600 | \$ 13,590 | \$ 13,532 | \$-59 |
| Bottom 80\% | 30,200 | 5,665 | 8,753 | +3,088 |
| Top 5\% | 365,800 | 117,187 | 62,527 | -54,661 |

Effects of Replacing Most Federal Taxes with a National Sales Tax in 2005, State-by-State Details

|  | Average Cash Income | Average <br> Federal <br> Tax Now* | Average <br> National <br> Sales Tax** | Average Burden Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utah |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 10,000 | \$ 905 | \$ 4,786 | \$ $+3,881$ |
| 2nd 20\% | 22,100 | 3,380 | 6,958 | +3,578 |
| Middle 20\% | 37,200 | 7,396 | 10,571 | +3,175 |
| 4th 20\% | 58,300 | 12,682 | 15,733 | +3,051 |
| Next 15\% | 92,100 | 23,010 | 24,503 | +1,493 |
| Next 4\% | 173,000 | 50,812 | 45,663 | -5,148 |
| Top 1\% | 750,000 | 254,622 | 79,653 | -174,968 |
| ALL | \$ 51,100 | \$ 12,280 | \$ 13,780 | \$ +1,500 |
| Bottom 80\% | 31,900 | 6,091 | 9,512 | +3,422 |
| Top 5\% | 288,200 | 91,496 | 52,448 | -39,048 |
| Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 10,200 | \$ 1,005 | \$ 4,395 | \$ +3,390 |
| 2nd 20\% | 23,700 | 3,828 | 6,615 | +2,787 |
| Middle 20\% | 39,400 | 7,931 | 11,302 | +3,370 |
| 4th 20\% | 60,200 | 14,061 | 16,047 | +1,986 |
| Next 15\% | 94,900 | 25,908 | 23,979 | -1,929 |
| Next 4\% | 184,000 | 56,213 | 46,028 | -10,184 |
| Top 1\% | 662,000 | 227,892 | 76,480 | -151,412 |
| ALL | \$ 53,400 | \$ 13,406 | \$ 13,825 | \$ +420 |
| Bottom 80\% | 33,400 | 6,706 | 9,590 | +2,883 |
| Top 5\% | 283,400 | 91,997 | 52,376 | -39,622 |
| Virginia |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 9,300 | \$ 728 | \$ 4,146 | \$ +3,417 |
| 2nd 20\% | 23,200 | 3,618 | 7,087 | +3,469 |
| Middle 20\% | 39,000 | 8,809 | 11,088 | +2,278 |
| 4th 20\% | 65,000 | 15,617 | 17,366 | +1,749 |
| Next 15\% | 108,200 | 30,360 | 29,681 | -679 |
| Next 4\% | 220,000 | 69,382 | 53,857 | -15,525 |
| Top 1\% | 958,000 | 319,195 | 97,361 | -221,835 |
| ALL | \$ 59,600 | \$ 15,659 | \$ 15,293 | \$ -366 |
| Bottom 80\% | 34,100 | 7,193 | 9,922 | +2,728 |
| Top 5\% | 367,400 | 119,341 | 62,557 | -56,784 |
| Washington |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | \$ 10,500 | \$ 698 | \$ 5,361 | \$ +4,663 |
| 2nd 20\% | 24,700 | 3,987 | 7,717 | +3,730 |
| Middle 20\% | 40,200 | 8,329 | 11,880 | +3,551 |
| 4th 20\% | 62,800 | 15,012 | 17,723 | +2,712 |
| Next 15\% | 101,700 | 26,886 | 29,015 | +2,129 |
| Next 4\% | 203,000 | 63,466 | 52,270 | -11,196 |
| Top 1\% | 1,324,000 | 461,195 | 131,967 | -329,227 |
| ALL | \$ 61,500 | \$ 16,045 | \$ 16,066 | \$ +22 |
| Bottom 80\% | 34,500 | 7,006 | 10,670 | +3,664 |
| Top 5\% | 427,200 | 142,931 | 68,193 | -74,737 |

Effects of Replacing Most Federal Taxes with a National Sales Tax in 2005, State-by-State Details

|  | Average <br> Cash <br> Income | Average <br> Federal <br> Tax Now* | Average <br> National <br> Sales Tax** | Average <br> Burden <br> Changes |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| West Virginia |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | $\$ 8,100$ | $\$ 322$ | $\$ 3,846$ | $\$+3,524$ |
| 2nd 20\% | 17,600 | 1,708 | 5,910 | $+4,202$ |
| Middle 20\% | 29,400 | 4,591 | 8,352 | $+3,761$ |
| 4th 20\% | 48,500 | 9,862 | 12,765 | $+2,903$ |
| Next 15\% | 77,800 | 19,547 | 19,674 | +127 |
| Next 4\% | 135,000 | 40,803 | 35,248 | $-5,556$ |
| Top 1\% | 461,000 | 150,534 | 70,385 | $-80,149$ |
| ALL | $\$ 40,500$ | $\$ 8,940$ | $\$ 10,951$ | $\$+2,011$ |
| Bottom 80\% | 25,900 | 4,121 | 7,718 | $+3,598$ |
| Top 5\% | 199,800 | 62,486 | 42,191 | $-20,295$ |
| Wisconsin |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | $\$ 11,600$ | $\$ 1,076$ | $\$ 5,314$ | $\$+4,238$ |
| 2nd 20\% | 24,500 | 3,982 | 7,617 | $+3,635$ |
| Middle 20\% | 41,100 | 8,912 | 12,279 | $+3,367$ |
| 4th 20\% | 62,700 | 14,273 | 16,870 | $+2,597$ |
| Next 15\% | 96,400 | 26,548 | 26,166 | -382 |
| Next 4\% | 175,000 | 54,040 | 46,768 | $-7,272$ |
| Top 1\% | 810,000 | 284,151 | 97,296 | $-186,855$ |
| ALL | $\$ 56,300$ | $\$ 14,299$ | $\$ 15,049$ | $\$+750$ |
| Bottom 80\% | 35,000 | 7,061 | 10,520 | $+3,459$ |
| Top 5\% | 302,200 | 100,051 | 56,871 | $-43,180$ |
| Wyoming |  |  |  |  |
| Low 20\% | $\$ 10,800$ | $\$ 1,005$ | $\$ 4,517$ | $\$+3,512$ |
| 2nd 20\% | 23,800 | 3,545 | 7,494 | $+3,949$ |
| Middle 20\% | 38,300 | 7,188 | 11,800 | $+4,612$ |
| 4th 20\% | 59,900 | 14,636 | 17,616 | $+2,980$ |
| Next 15\% | 92,600 | 25,283 | 26,496 | $+1,213$ |
| Next 4\% | 189,000 | 55,820 | 42,435 | $-13,384$ |
| Top 1\% | $1,236,000$ | 413,040 | 124,126 | $-288,914$ |
| ALL | $\$ 58,500$ | $\$ 14,992$ | $\$ 15,811$ | $\$+819$ |
| Bottom 80\% | 33,200 | 6,593 | 10,357 | $+3,763$ |
| Top 5\% | 401,200 | 128,099 | 58,965 | $-69,135$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ A complete description of the ITEP Tax Model can be found at www.itepnet.org.
    ${ }^{2}$ The bill would retain federal excise taxes (gasoline, tobacco, etc.) and customs duties.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Our findings did not differ significantly whether we applied a slightly lower rate to a slightly broader tax base or a slightly higher rate to a slightly narrower tax base.
    ${ }^{4}$ William G. Gale, "A Note on the Required Tax Rate in a National Retail Sales Tax: Preliminary Estimates for 2005-2014," The Brookings Institution, August 12, 2004.

